

PREVALENCE AND DETERMINANTS OF SMALL FOR GESTATIONAL AGE FETUSES: A META-ANALYTIC PERSPECTIVE

PREVALÊNCIA E DETERMINANTES DE FETOS PEQUENOS PARA A IDADE GESTACIONAL: UMA PERSPECTIVA META-ANALÍTICA

PREVALENCIA Y DETERMINANTES DE LOS FETOS PEQUEÑOS PARA LA EDAD GESTACIONAL: UNA PERSPECTIVA META-ANALÍTICA

 <https://doi.org/10.56238/sevened2025.037-033>

Elcson Lopes de Almeida¹, Aline Omena Aureliano², George Harrison Ferreira de Carvalho³

ABSTRACT

Small for gestational age (SGA) remains a substantial global health issue, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, where structural and clinical determinants significantly influence fetal growth. This meta-analysis estimates global and regional prevalence of SGA and synthesizes evidence on maternal, socioeconomic, nutritional, behavioral, and clinical determinants. A systematic search across six databases was conducted following PRISMA 2020 criteria. Random-effects models were used to compute pooled prevalence and odds ratios. The global prevalence of SGA was approximately 14%, varying widely across regions. Maternal smoking, inadequate nutrition, low education, poverty, rural residence, and chronic medical conditions increased the odds of SGA. Adequate prenatal care was protective. High heterogeneity was observed, and funnel plot asymmetry suggested possible publication bias. These findings reinforce the need for equity-oriented public-health strategies and early antenatal interventions.

Keywords: Small For Gestational Age. Meta-Analysis. Maternal Health. Prenatal Care. Epidemiology.

RESUMO

Fetos pequenos para a idade gestacional (PIG) permanecem um importante problema de saúde global, especialmente em países de baixa e média renda, onde determinantes estruturais e clínicos influenciam significativamente o crescimento fetal. Esta meta-análise estima a prevalência global e regional de PIG e sintetiza evidências sobre determinantes maternos, socioeconômicos, nutricionais, comportamentais e clínicos. Uma busca sistemática em seis bases de dados foi conduzida conforme os critérios do PRISMA 2020. Modelos de efeitos aleatórios foram utilizados para calcular a prevalência combinada e os odds ratios. A prevalência global de PIG foi de aproximadamente 14%, variando amplamente entre as regiões. Tabagismo materno, nutrição inadequada, baixa escolaridade, pobreza, residência rural e condições médicas crônicas aumentaram as chances de PIG. O cuidado pré-natal adequado mostrou-se um fator de proteção. Observou-se alta heterogeneidade, e a assimetria do gráfico de funil sugeriu possível viés de publicação. Esses achados reforçam

¹ Specialist in Urgent and Emergency Care and ICU. Univirtual. E-mail: elcsoneua1@gmail.com

² Master's student in Family Health. Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul (UFMS)

E-mail: alineomena1@gmail.com

³ Dr. of Medical Science. Universidade de Brasília (UnB). E-mail: georgeharrisonfc@gmail.com

a necessidade de estratégias de saúde pública orientadas pela equidade e de intervenções pré-natais precoces.

Palavras-chave: Pequeno para a Idade Gestacional. Meta-Análise. Saúde Materna. Cuidado Pré-Natal. Epidemiologia.

RESUMEN

Los fetos pequeños para la edad gestacional (PEG) continúan siendo un importante problema de salud global, particularmente en países de ingresos bajos y medianos, donde los determinantes estructurales y clínicos influyen significativamente en el crecimiento fetal. Esta metaanálisis estima la prevalencia global y regional de PEG y sintetiza la evidencia sobre determinantes maternos, socioeconómicos, nutricionales, conductuales y clínicos. Se realizó una búsqueda sistemática en seis bases de datos siguiendo los criterios del PRISMA 2020. Se utilizaron modelos de efectos aleatorios para calcular la prevalencia combinada y los odds ratios. La prevalencia global de PEG fue de aproximadamente 14%, con amplia variación entre regiones. El tabaquismo materno, la nutrición inadecuada, la baja escolaridad, la pobreza, la residencia rural y las condiciones médicas crónicas aumentaron las probabilidades de PEG. La atención prenatal adecuada fue un factor protector. Se observó alta heterogeneidad y la asimetría del diagrama de embudo sugirió posible sesgo de publicación. Estos hallazgos refuerzan la necesidad de estrategias de salud pública orientadas a la equidad y de intervenciones prenatales tempranas.

Palabras clave: Pequeño para la Edad Gestacional. Metaanálisis. Salud Materna. Atención Prenatal. Epidemiología.

1 INTRODUCTION

Small for gestational age (SGA), commonly defined as birthweight below the 10th percentile for gestational age, is a major marker of impaired fetal growth and is strongly associated with neonatal morbidity, long-term developmental delays, and increased risk of chronic diseases in adulthood (LEE et al., 2013). Globally, an estimated 23 million infants are born SGA each year, with the highest prevalence observed in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa, regions characterized by persistent socioeconomic and health-system inequalities (LEE et al., 2017). Research consistently shows that the burden of SGA is disproportionately concentrated in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where structural vulnerabilities—including poverty, maternal undernutrition, and limited access to antenatal care—intensify the risk of fetal growth restriction (FALCÃO et al., 2021).

Structural weaknesses in epidemiological surveillance and health information systems, particularly in disadvantaged regions, may further obscure the true burden of maternal and fetal risks. Similar patterns of underreporting have been documented in other neglected conditions in Brazil, such as Chagas disease during the COVID-19 pandemic (CARVALHO; MEDEIROS; MAGALHÃES, 2024), reinforcing how systemic vulnerabilities can hinder accurate detection, targeted interventions, and timely maternal care.

The etiology of SGA is multifactorial. Biological mechanisms such as placental insufficiency, hypoxia, and micronutrient deficiencies interact with social determinants including low maternal education, food insecurity, and inadequate prenatal care (XAVERIUS et al., 2014). Behavioral factors also contribute substantially: maternal smoking, for example, is known to impair placental perfusion and oxygen exchange, increasing the likelihood of fetal growth restriction (KATZ et al., 2014). Environmental and psychosocial stressors, including maternal mental health disorders, have been linked to epigenetic modifications that may further affect fetal development (CIESIELSKI; MARSIT; WILLIAMS, 2015). These diverse pathways highlight the need for comprehensive approaches to identify modifiable determinants and reduce SGA prevalence globally.

Emerging evidence also underscores the relevance of inflammatory and immunological processes to fetal development. Immune-mediated conditions, including severe ocular inflammatory disorders, illustrate systemic pathways through which dysregulated inflammation can influence pregnancy outcomes (BELEM; CARVALHO, 2025). Such mechanisms contribute to the growing recognition that maternal immune status plays a critical role in shaping fetal growth trajectories.

Despite a substantial body of literature, evidence remains fragmented and inconsistent across regions, populations, and methodological designs. Previous meta-analyses have contributed valuable insights but were often constrained by the use of nonstandardized growth references, limited geographic representation, or inconsistent adjustment for confounders (DARLING et al., 2023). The increasing availability of multicountry datasets and standardized international fetal-growth curves, such as the INTERGROWTH-21st standards, provides an opportunity for more robust synthesis (LEE et al., 2017).

Given this context, a rigorous meta-analytic synthesis is essential to quantify global and regional prevalence patterns, identify consistent maternal and contextual determinants, and support evidence-informed interventions. Therefore, this study aims to (1) estimate the pooled prevalence of SGA worldwide and across major world regions, and (2) examine the association of socioeconomic, behavioral, nutritional, and clinical factors with SGA, using high-quality observational evidence from diverse international settings.

2 METHODS

2.1 STUDY DESIGN AND REGISTRATION

This study followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) guidelines. The protocol was prospectively registered in PROSPERO (registration number to be added), ensuring methodological transparency.

2.2 SEARCH STRATEGY

A comprehensive search was performed in PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and SciELO up to December 2024. Search terms included controlled vocabulary (MeSH) and free-text words related to SGA, prevalence, and risk factors. Boolean operators ensured sensitivity and specificity of retrieval.


2.3 INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Eligible studies included observational designs (cohort, case-control, cross-sectional) reporting prevalence estimates or determinants of SGA, defined as birthweight < 10th percentile. Exclusion criteria comprised narrative reviews, studies lacking standardized SGA definitions, duplicate datasets, and abstract-only publications.

2.4 STUDY SELECTION

Two independent reviewers screened titles, abstracts, and full texts, resolving discrepancies through a third reviewer. Study selection followed the PRISMA flow diagram.

Figure 1



2.5 DATA EXTRACTION

Data extracted included study design, country, sample size, SGA definition, prevalence, and effect measures. Extraction was performed independently by two reviewers.

2.6 QUALITY ASSESSMENT

The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used for cohort and case-control studies, and the JBI Critical Appraisal Tool for cross-sectional studies. Studies were categorized as low, moderate, or high risk of bias.

2.7 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Random-effects models were applied (DerSimonian-Laird) to estimate pooled

prevalence and odds ratios. Heterogeneity was quantified using I^2 , T^2 , and Cochran's Q. Subgroup analyses explored region, maternal characteristics, and study design. Funnel plots and Egger's test assessed publication bias.


3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 GLOBAL PREVALENCE

The pooled global prevalence of SGA was 14%, with substantial variation across regions (**Figure 2**).

Figure 2


The pooled global prevalence of SGA



Source: Data obtained from research of the authors

3.2 DETERMINANTS


Determinants significantly associated with SGA (**Figure 3**) included maternal smoking, low nutritional intake, heavy workload, low education, low income, rural residence, and medical comorbidities. Adequate prenatal care demonstrated a protective effect.

Figure 3*Determinants significantly associated with SGA*

Source: Data obtained from research of the authors

3.3 PUBLICATION BIAS

Visual inspection of funnel plots suggested possible small-study effects. Egger's test indicated asymmetry for some determinants (**Figure 4**).

Figure 4*Egger's test results*

Source: Data obtained from research of the authors

This meta-analysis provides comprehensive evidence demonstrating that small-for-gestational-age (SGA) births remain a major global health issue, disproportionately concentrated in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Our findings align strongly with previous large-scale studies showing that approximately one in seven newborns worldwide is SGA, with a substantially higher burden in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa (LEE et al., 2013; LEE et al., 2017). Such disparities underscore persistent socioeconomic, nutritional, and health-system inequalities that shape maternal and fetal vulnerability.

The determinants identified in this synthesis corroborate well-established biological and social pathways influencing fetal growth. Maternal smoking, for example, consistently demonstrated a strong association with SGA. This is biologically plausible, as nicotine and carbon monoxide impair placental perfusion and oxygen delivery, resulting in fetal hypoxia and growth restriction (KATZ et al., 2014). Likewise, poor maternal dietary intake — particularly low fruit and vegetable consumption — has been repeatedly linked to micronutrient deficiencies and increased oxidative stress, mechanisms known to restrict fetal development (CHAUDHARY et al., 2021).

Socioeconomic disadvantage emerged as a major predictor of SGA, confirming the findings of Falcão et al. (2021) in a Brazilian cohort of over 100 million individuals. Limited access to nutritious food, higher exposure to environmental stressors, and restricted access to timely prenatal care are all pathways through which poverty amplifies fetal growth restriction. Low maternal education and rural residence further compound structural vulnerability by limiting health literacy and reducing access to antenatal services (XAVERIUS et al., 2014).

Clinical comorbidities also played a relevant role. Conditions such as hypertensive disorders, diabetes, renal disease, and autoimmune disorders have been repeatedly associated with impaired placental development and uteroplacental insufficiency (CIESIELSKI et al., 2015). Although effect sizes varied across studies, the consistency of these associations reinforces the need for high-risk prenatal surveillance and chronic disease management during pregnancy.

Importantly, adequate antenatal care demonstrated a protective effect. This is consistent with evidence that early and regular prenatal contact enables health professionals to detect nutritional deficits, monitor maternal weight gain, identify comorbidities, and intervene promptly (DARLING et al., 2023). Adequate prenatal care also reflects broader health-system functionality and women's empowerment within the healthcare context.

Heterogeneity in effect estimates was expected and reflects real-world variability in study designs, measurement tools, reference curves, and population profiles. Nonetheless, our sensitivity analyses and random-effects modeling ensured the robustness of pooled estimates. Similar high heterogeneity has been documented in previous SGA meta-analyses, given the global scope and diversity of included populations (LEE et al., 2017).

Publication bias was suggested by funnel plot asymmetry, especially in smaller studies evaluating behavioral determinants. This aligns with the tendency of observational perinatal research to preferentially publish studies with significant results, a limitation also acknowledged by Katz et al. (2014).

Despite these limitations, this review strengthens the evidence base for SGA prevention. The convergence of socioeconomic, behavioral, and clinical factors indicates that SGA cannot be addressed solely through clinical interventions. Instead, coordinated strategies integrating prenatal care, maternal nutrition, smoking cessation, and social protection policies are needed — particularly in LMICs, where structural determinants remain the dominant drivers of fetal growth disparities.

4 CONCLUSION

SGA remains a significant global health challenge. Comprehensive strategies combining clinical interventions and socioeconomic improvements are essential to reducing its burden. This meta-analysis provides robust evidence to guide public health policies and clinical decisions.

5 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Elcson Lopes de Almeida: Responsible for the initial conception of the study, definition of the research problem, and development of the systematic search methodology. Conducted data extraction, preliminary statistical analysis, and preparation of prevalence and determinant graphs. Actively participated in writing the introduction and discussion sections.

Aline Omena Aureliano: Contributed to the critical literature review and interpretation of results from a nutritional and maternal–child health perspective. Collaborated in data organization and writing of the results and conclusion sections. Responsible for linguistic review and adaptation of the manuscript to scientific standards.

George Harrison Ferreira de Carvalho: Supervised the methodological and statistical review stages, overseeing the meta-analytic procedures and validation of results.

Responsible for the final revision of the manuscript, enhancement of scientific argumentation, and standardization of references according to international guidelines. Also served as technical advisor and corresponding author.

REFERENCES

Belem, G. C. V. B., & de Carvalho, G. H. F. (2025). Ocular inflammation and uveitis: Insights into immunology and therapeutics. *Revista JRG de Estudos Acadêmicos*, 8(19), e082467.

Chaudhary, N., & et al. (2021). Prognostic factors associated with small-for-gestational-age babies in Nepal. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jog.14633>

Ciesielski, T. H., Marsit, C. J., & Williams, S. M. (2015). Maternal psychiatric disease and epigenetic evidence suggest a common biology for poor fetal growth. *Epigenomics*. <https://doi.org/10.2217/epi.15.12>

Darling, A. M., & et al. (2023). Risk factors for inadequate and excessive gestational weight gain in 25 low- and middle-income countries. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajcnut.2023.03.018>

de Carvalho, G. H. F., de Medeiros, G. G., & Magalhães, R. de L. B. (2024). Subnotificação de doença de Chagas no Estado do Amapá no período da pandemia de COVID-19. *Caderno Pedagógico*, 21(9), e7609.

Falcão, I. R., & et al. (2021). Factors associated with small- and large-for-gestational-age in socioeconomically vulnerable individuals in the 100 Million Brazilian Cohort. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppe.12766>

Gurung, S., & et al. (2022). A systematic review on estimating population attributable fraction for risk factors for small-for-gestational-age births in 81 low- and middle-income countries. *Journal of Global Health*, 12, Article 04024.

Katz, J., & et al. (2014). Prevalence of small-for-gestational-age and its mortality risk varies by choice of birth-weight-for-gestation reference population. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppe.12105>

Lee, A. C. C., & et al. (2013). National and regional estimates of term and preterm babies born small for gestational age in 138 low-income and middle-income countries in 2010. *The Lancet Global Health*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(13\)70006-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70006-8)

Lee, A. C. C., & et al. (2017). Estimates of burden and consequences of infants born small for gestational age in low and middle income countries with INTERGROWTH-21st standard: Analysis of CHERG datasets. *BMJ*, 358. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j3677>

Xaverius, P. K., & et al. (2014). Predictors of size for gestational age in St. Louis City and County. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-013-1372-5>